

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,479		12/30/2003	Russell E. Blette	58846US002	8729
32692	7590	12/28/2005		EXAMINER	
3M INNO	VATIVE	PROPERTIES CON	HOGAN, JAMES SEAN		
PO BOX 33	427			<u></u>	
ST. PAUL,	ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427				PAPER NUMBER
				3752	·

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			TUT					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/748,479	BLETTE ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	James S. Hogan	3752						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	is					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	~					
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	October 2005.							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•	• •	rits is					
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application	on.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 9-11</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5</u> is/are rejected.	<u> </u>							
7) Claim(s) <u>6, 7 and 8</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	, -	· , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume								
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	received in this National Stag	je					
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received						
See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06	8) 5) Notice of I	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152))					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	'						

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over U.S. Patent 5165,605 to Morita et al in view of U.S. Patent 4,917,300 to Gloviak et al.

Regarding claim 1, Morita et al. ('605) teaches a liquid spray gun including a nozzle portion with a liquid passageway (30) leading to an outlet end (32). The body assembly has a first air passageway (39) extending from an inlet end (not numbered) to an outlet end (@23) at the outlet end of the nozzle portion, the outlet end of the first air passageway extends around the outlet end of the liquid outlet passageway (also @ 23) and is shaped to direct air under greater than atmospheric pressure against liquid flowing out of the outlet end of the liquid outlet passageway to propel the liquid away from the outlet end of the nozzle portion while shaping the liquid into a generally conical stream. The body assembly includes horns (40) projecting past the outlet end of the nozzle on opposite sides, and the body assembly has a second air passageway (44) extending from an inlet end through portions of the horns to outlet passageways having outlet apertures (43) spaced along the horns from the outlet end of the nozzle and facing opposite sides of a center axis (not shown, but defined as a common axis shared by valve member 14). The outlet passageways and apertures are non-circular (V-

shaped notches for the apertures (43), and "L-shaped" as a passageway (44)) and shaped to direct air under greater than atmospheric pressure flowing through the second air passageway against opposite sides of a generally conical stream of liquid formed by air flowing through the first air passageway to reshape the generally conical stream of liquid into a wide elongate stream. As per claim 5, the first air passageway is shaped to direct air in a converging conical pattern against liquid exiting the outlet end of the liquid passageway (See Fig. 6A). Regarding the later part of claim 1, Morita et al. (605) does not teach the body assembly including a molded polymeric air cap having horns. Gloviak et al. ('300) teaches a polymeric air cap (76) having horns (84) with outlet passages and apertures being formed by molding (Col.1 line 50-55 and Col. 4. lines 24-28) and means of mounting onto a nozzle portion ((79). The air cap forms first (86) and second (74) air passageways. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the spray gun of Morita et al. (605) with the polymeric air-cap of Gloviak et al. ('300) in order to provide a spray gun with less expensive parts. However, how the body assembly passages are formed, a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-byprocess claim is the same as or an obvious variant from a product in the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process (see MPEP 2113)

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5165,605 to Morita et al., in view of U.S. Patent 5,584,433 to Nakagawa.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,479

Art Unit: 3752

The rejection of claim 1 above serves as the basis for the following. Regarding claims 2 and 3, Morita et al. ('605) does not teach rectangular outlet passageways and apertures in the horns. Nakagawa ('433) teaches, in an embodiment defined in Figure 17, a rectangular nozzle tip for directing air into an exiting nozzle steam. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the nozzle shape of Morita et al. ('605) with the rectangular shape of Nakagawa ('433) in order to provide a liner-shaped flow of air to a conical stream of atomized liquid to aid in focusing the spray pattern of the liquid to a desired pattern.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 9-11 allowed.

Claims 6, 7 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any Application/Control Number: 10/748,479 Page 5

Art Unit: 3752

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James S. Hogan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4902. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:00a-4:00p EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JSH 12/16/2005

David A. Scherbel
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700